

## <u>Title</u>

svarih — Structural vector autoregressive models, identified through
 heteroskedasticity

### <u>Syntax</u>

svarih method depvarlist [if] [in] [, options]

method	Description
<u>bac</u> chiocchi	<u>Bacchiocchi (2011)</u> method and model
<u>bfa</u> nelli	<u>Bacchiocchi/Fanelli (2012)</u> method and model
<u>llu</u> tkepohl	<u>Lanne/Lütkepohl (2008)</u> method and model

For the detailed syntax and description of these estimation methods, see <u>svarih</u> <u>bac</u>, <u>svarih bfa</u> and <u>svarih llu</u>.

### **Description**

\_ . . .

svarih implements several identification methods and models for structural
vector autoregressions that are based on identification through
heteroskedasticity. For a detailed exposition of these estimation methods, see
their respective help files.

### Abbreviations, definitions, notation

The following list contains abbreviations, definitions, and notation that are used throughout this help file, the help files for **svarih** methods, and for **dsimih** and **dsimih** subcommands.

Definitions:	
volatility regime/state	period of time within which the structural / reduced-form covariance matrix does not change.
regime variable	categorical numeric variable that identifies volatility regimes
regime matrix	matrix that pins down the volatile shocks for each volatility state
Abbreviations:	
IH	identification through heteroskedasticity
IH-BAC	IH, Bacchiocchi (2011) method
IH-BFA	IH, Bacchiocchi/Fanelli (2012) method
IH-LLU	IH, Lanne/Lütkepohl (2008) method
(S)VAR	(structural) vector autoregression
SIRF	structural impulse response function
SFEVD	structural forecast-error variance decomposition

### <u>Remarks</u>

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Structural VAR IH models Variants of IH models Notation Help file access

Structural VAR IH models

Structural VAR estimation has a long tradition in economics. Various methods have been proposed to identify the parameters of a structural VAR simultaneous equations model, the most prominent ones being short-run (exclusion/equality) restrictions, long-run restrictions, and sign restrictions. <u>Kilian (2011)</u> provides an excellent overview of the identification methods that have been advanced. Identification through short-run and long-run restrictions have been implemented in official Stata through the <u>svar</u> command.

svarih, by contrast, uses identification methods that are based on heteroskedasticity in the data. It posits the existence of different "regimes". This means that the covariance matrix of the structural (as well as the reduced-form) shocks varies over time but remains constant within a certain time span ("regime"). In practice, this often translates into defining a "baseline" regime and one or several "volatility" regimes that deviate from the baseline. Each regime can occur multiple times. The sequence of regimes is unrestricted. There can be gaps in the data.

Apart from the existence of different error covariance matrices, the model employed fully corresponds to the standard structural VAR model. In particular, this means that the A-matrix and/or the B-matrix (in AB-model notation; see [TS] <u>svar</u> (manual) and <u>svar</u> (online)), if they are present in the respective SVAR-IH model, contain constant coefficients.

IH models achieve identification through positing a priori knowledge about the changing nature of structural shocks, i.e. different volatility regimes. The applicability of IH models thus depends on the plausibility of such assumptions. The advantage of these identification methods is that apart from normalization restrictions no or fewer constraints on the parameters to be estimated are necessary, or that constraints can be imposed in a more flexible way.

Since IH models assume different states of volatility, you have to supply the estimation command with information regarding the different volatility periods. All **svarih** methods take a variable as input that identifies the regimes. <u>svarih</u> <u>bacchiocchi</u> additionally needs a matrix that tells the estimation routine which shocks have modified volatility during each volatility regime.

After estimation, you can use <u>dsimih</u> to create SIRFs and SFEVDs. **predict** generates prediced values, residuals, shocks, and historical decompositions. For these and other postestimation tools, see <u>svarih postestimation</u>.

### Variants of IH models

The seminal contribution in the IH SVAR literature is <u>Rigobon (2003)</u>. It consists of an A-model where shock volatility regimes are defined exogenously. To account for correlatedness of the structural shocks, common shocks can be added to the model. There is no asymptotic framework. Standard errors are obtained through a bootstrap. <u>Lanne and Lütkepohl (2008)</u> converted the model to a B-model and added an asymptotic ML framework. <u>Lanne and Lütkepohl (2010)</u> set up a similar B-model that models the residuals as following a mixture normal distribution. <u>Lanne et al. (2010)</u> add Markov-switching properties to a B-model so that regimes are determined endogenously. <u>Bacchiocchi (2011)</u> provides an AB-model ML framework that also allows for different shock propagation in different regimes. Finally, <u>Bacchiocchi and Fanelli (2012)</u> tackle the issue of simultaneous changes in volatility and B-matrix elements. For a survey of these and similar methods, see <u>Lütkepohl (2012)</u>.

### Notation

The usage of conflicting notation for VAR and SVAR related model parameters in different publications is an obstacle in understanding and comparing the different methods. To facilitate the understanding of the different IH methods and how they compare to the standard **svar** methods, the notation of **svarih** leans heavily on the Stata time-series manual entries of <u>var</u> and <u>svar</u>. Notation matters in some instances for command options and for returned **e()**-values but mostly for the accompanying PDF document on methods and formulas.

### Help file access

The help files for the subcommands of **svarih** are available under their minimum abbreviated form. For example, type "help svarih bac" instead of "help svarih bacchiocchi". Likewise, the other estimation subcommand help files are accessible under "svarih bfa" and "svarih llu". Help for the postestimation option "**svarih, cmat**" is available under "help svarih cmat". Help for the utility **svarih examples** which generate example estimates can be accessed by "help svarih examples".

A PDF document called **svarihMethodsAndFormulas.pdf** that details methods and formulas used in **svarih** and **dsimih** is part of the **svarih** package. During package installation the PDF file is copied into the same directory as **svarih.ado**. To find the directory where both files are located, you can type

. findfile svarih.ado , all

### <u>Author</u>

Daniel C. Schneider, Goethe University Frankfurt, dan\_schneider@outlook.com

#### Citation

svarih is not an official Stata command. It is a free contribution to the
 research community, like a paper. Please cite it as such:

Schneider, Daniel C. (2014): svarih: Stata Module to Estimate and Analyze Structural VAR Models Based on Selected Methods of Identification through Heteroskedasticity. Downloadable from http://www.dan-schneider.net/stata.

### Acknowledgements

The code of official Stata's **svar** has served as a point of reference throughout the development of **svarih**. Any remaining errors in **svarih** are mine.

### DISCLAIMER

THE SVARIH STATA PACKAGE (THE "SOFTWARE") COMES AS-IS. NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, ARE GIVEN. ANY CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGE DUE TO THE USE OF THE SOFTWARE IS THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE USER.

### <u>References</u>

- Bacchiocchi, E. (2011): Identification in Structural VAR Models with Different Volatility Regimes. Universita Degli Studi di Milano, Working Paper No.2011-39.
- Bacchiocchi, E. and L. Fanelli (2012): Identification in Structural Vector Autoregressive Models with Structural Changes. Universita Degli Studi di Milano, Working Paper No.2012-16.
- Kilian, L. 2011. Structural Vector Autoregressions. Working Paper, University of Michigan.
- Lanne, M. and H. Lütkepohl (2008): Identifying Monetary Policy Shocks via Changes in Volatility. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 40 (6), 1131-1149.
- Lanne, M. and H. Lütkepohl (2010): Structural Vector Autoregressions with Nonnormal Residuals. Journal of Business and Economic Statistics, 28, 159-168.
- Lanne, M., Lütkepohl, H. and K. Maciejowska (2010): Structural Vector Autoregressions with Markov Switching. Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, 34, 121-131.
- Lütkepohl, H. (2012): Identifying Structural Vector Autoregressions via Changes in Volatility. DIW Discussion Papers No.1259.

Rigobon, R. (2003). Identification through Heteroskedasticity. The Review of Economics and Statistics 85(4): 777-792.

# <u>Also see</u>